

MRS Workshop 4 - Evaluation Results

The following indicates the views of those stakeholders who completed an evaluation form following the fourth workshop for the development of a Material Resources Strategy.

RATINGS

Poor → Good → Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

1. Range of stakeholders attending workshop 4

0	6	33	46	8
---	---	----	----	---

Comments:

- Broad range of views expressed
- Perhaps more stakeholders needed from the younger generation and more general public
- Low attendance by industry representatives at the evening session
- Do local representatives give feedback? If yes, where is the evidence of this?
- The meeting was too short
- Long workshop, more stakeholder contributions required
- Good range of representation from various sectors
- Not enough interaction to allow effective assessment
- How do we engage the public?
- Need for more community groups to attend

2. Quality of workshop briefing material

2	16	42	30	3
---	----	----	----	---

Comments:

- Should have had more pre-meeting information on options, appraisal work etc.
- Would be useful to have briefing material in advance
- Very informative - set the scene
- Terminology could have been better for non-planners i.e. HMWDF etc
- More feedback from the resource groups would be more advantageous
- 'Examples' of translating 'theory' into practice would be useful

RATINGS

Poor → Good → Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

3. Value of presentations

0	6	49	40	0
---	---	----	----	---

Comments:

- Some better than others by a significant amount
 - All very good, although not very in-depth
 - Would have liked more feedback from off-line groups
-

4. Value of group discussions on appraisal approach and objectives

1	9	21	58	4
---	---	----	----	---

Comments:

- Would be better to allocate tasks to get a balance of councillors / officers / parishes / public etc.
 - Rather rushed - more time was needed for the group discussions
 - Greater thought should be given by stakeholders to answers to the questions asked
 - Good facilitation
-

5. Value of group discussions on the way forward

0	5	31	50	7
---	---	----	----	---

Comments:

- Would be better to allocate tasks to get a balance of councillors / officers / parishes / public etc.
 - More time would be useful for better discussion
 - Greater clarity of briefing was required
 - Good facilitation
-

6. Extent to which you felt able to have your views heard and recorded

0	1	13	56	19
---	---	----	----	----

Comments:

- The only restriction was the time
 - Need more time on objectives
 - Good facilitation
 - Need very basic data in order to steadily identify where the problems are and therefore identify the way forward
-

Any other Comments

- How does this link to the SEERA strategy?
- On balance an excellent forum, with good progress made
- We all need to ensure links are made with community strategies as they are supposed to give clear direction to planning frameworks
- A well organised and enjoyable session - part of a useful process
- Keep it going. Consider the very long term future - 100 years plus
- Valuable to hear other peoples views
- MRS is an interesting journey as it is really taking shape now
- Interesting to have a range of meeting venues. Especially those of cultural significance
- Web site is very good
- Presentations were shorter and better than before
- Much more information needs to be available in advance of the workshop